

"S'Matter, Pop?"

By C. M. Payne



The New Plays

May Irwin

Makes Thin Play Seem Almost Plump.

BY CHARLES DARNTON.

HERE is so much of May Irwin that we ought, perhaps, to be satisfied with very little play. One of these days she may dramatize her cook book and give us a full meal. Meanwhile she must make the most of her embonpoint, not overlooking the entente cordiale that she establishes the moment she looms upon the scene.

Last night at Cohan's Theatre Miss Irwin made a painfully thin play, "Widow by Proxy," seem almost plump. She was a poor singing teacher with hardly enough to keep body and voice together. The butcher had forsaken her and the delicatessen man refused to deliver anything but his ultimatum. At the same time her voice was strong enough to break through a wooden door, and when she followed it there was nothing in her appearance to suggest slow starvation. Even if the food supply were cut off for a month or two she would survive—we felt sure of it. But it was apparent that her friend and companion, a plump widow, didn't have so much to fall back upon.

The only alarming sign of weakness, however, was that betrayed by Catherine Chisholm Cushing's underplayed play. It certainly would not have been able to stand alone. Realizing its need of help, Miss Irwin started right in to brace it up. She sang some of her lines and danced others.

It took Miss Irwin only a moment to wind up the mechanical plot. The next moment she was pouring tea for Capt. Pennington, who melted before her like the sugar in his cup. After looking into her eyes he told his lawyer to give her not only her \$5,000 but his as well. If she had known this she would probably have gone right on giving tea parties for a \$5,000 cup of tea is not to be sniffed at, especially when there's no extra charge for bread and butter.

But as soon as she could gather a few becoming widow's weeds Miss Irwin set out to brave the terrors of Massachusetts and Capt. Pennington's two spinster sisters. The strange part of it was that the dressmaker still pursued her. We could hardly believe the play when she was introduced as a marquise, much less our ears when she made dressmaker's French serve as comedy. These little touches made it quite clear that writing a play is a very simple matter. Yet it must be confessed they were rather trying.

Miss Irwin gave herself and us a rest by sitting down at a piano and enlivening the occasion with two or three little songs. Her voice was in good working order and her touch was as light as a feather. Incidentally, she proved that she knows her way about a piano. The only thing that bothered her was Capt. Pennington's reputation for dealing severely with deceitful women. He had dropped a fair but false divorce almost at the altar because she had told him a lie. So what would he think of a fake widow? Miss Irwin tried in her utterly heart-breaking manner. Her grief filled one with longing to see her play Camille in a raincoat. It overwhelmed her when the man for whom she had worn crepe in the middle of summer turned up alive and well. Lynn Pratt made him such an odd fish that we rather wondered at Miss Clara Blondie's joy over his return. Orlando Daly played Capt. Pennington very well and did his best to take Miss Irwin in his arms when that happy moment arrived.

"Widow by Proxy" owed everything to May Irwin. She worked hard for the poor little play and won a legacy of laughter.

May Irwin as Gloria Grey.

maker's French serve as comedy. These little touches made it quite clear that writing a play is a very simple matter. Yet it must be confessed they were rather trying.

Miss Irwin gave herself and us a rest by sitting down at a piano and enlivening the occasion with two or three little songs. Her voice was in good working order and her touch was as light as a feather. Incidentally, she proved that she knows her way about a piano. The only thing that bothered her was Capt. Pennington's reputation for dealing severely with deceitful women. He had dropped a fair but false divorce almost at the altar because she had told him a lie. So what would he think of a fake widow? Miss Irwin tried in her utterly heart-breaking manner. Her grief filled one with longing to see her play Camille in a raincoat. It overwhelmed her when the man for whom she had worn crepe in the middle of summer turned up alive and well. Lynn Pratt made him such an odd fish that we rather wondered at Miss Clara Blondie's joy over his return. Orlando Daly played Capt. Pennington very well and did his best to take Miss Irwin in his arms when that happy moment arrived.

betty Vincent's

Advice to Lovers

"Chaperonage."

TO be chaperoned or not to be chaperoned—that is the question, and what is the answer? In America no unqualified answer can be made. For years we made it a national boast that "The American girl can take care of herself anywhere." Then suddenly, first among a much more representative class, the European custom of the chaperon crept in. And at present, in many localities, the presence of one or more elders wherever young persons of both sexes are together is considered absolutely indispensable. On the other hand, in communities every where as self-respecting the boys and girls and their mothers too would laugh at the notion of a chaperon.

The wise way is to follow "the custom of the country," or rather of that section of the country in which you happen to live. The chaperon question is really a problem of manners, rather than of morals.

Rival Suitors.

"M. Y." writes: "I care for two young men equally and am not in love with either. I do not wish to lose their friendship, yet they have already become bitter enemies to each other, making my position most uncomfortable. What shall I do?"

I advise you to tell them what you've told me and to beg them on your account to be sensible.

"S. C." writes: "I am fifteen and deeply in love with one of my teachers, who is ten years older than myself. But I do not think that he cares for me, as he pays me no special attention. What shall I do?"

Stop being a romantic, little goose, and study harder.

The Surprise Party.

"M. M." writes: "Is it proper for a young man of seventeen to give a present at a surprise party to a girl the

It Can't Be Done!



Tarzan of the Apes

Not Like Any Story That You Have Read

By Edgar Rice Burroughs

(Copyright, 1912, by Frank A. Munsey Co.)

SYNOPSIS OF PRECEDING CHAPTERS. Tarzan is the son of Lord and Lady Greystoke, who were marooned in the African jungle and who died in their only child's infancy. Tarzan, ignorant of his parentage, is brought up by an ape tribe and acquires tremendous strength. Prof. Porter, who is in search of a treasure chest, lands on the coast near Tarzan's cabin, accompanied by his daughter Jane and Cecil Clayton (Tarzan's cousin), who is a suitor for Jane's hand. Jane is rescued from death by Tarzan. He and she fall in love with each other. Tarzan saves the life of Janet Darnot, a Frenchman. In his absence, Tarzan is brought to Paris. There, by means of finger prints, he gets the first intimation of his parentage. Meanwhile, in America, Jane is annoyed by the unwelcome attentions of a rich man named Canler, who is the professor's creditor. To save her father's home she agrees to marry Canler.

know you are nearly surrounded by fire? Where is Miss Porter?"

Clayton sprang to his feet. He did not recognize the man, but he understood the words, and was upon the veranda in a bound.

He cried out in consternation, then dashing back into the house, called: "Jane! Jane! Where are you?"

In an instant Emeralda, Professor Porter, and Mr. Philander had joined the two men.

"Where is Jane?" demanded Clayton, seizing Emeralda by the shoulders and shaking her roughly.

"Oh, Gaberella, Marge Clayton, she done gone for a walk."

"Hain't she come back yet?"

And, without waiting for a reply, Clayton dashed out into the yard, followed by the others.

"Which way did she go?" cried the black-haired giant of Emeralda.

"Down, dat road," cried the frightened black, pointing toward the south where a mighty wall of roaring flames shut out the view.

"Put these people in the other car," shouted the stranger to Clayton. "I saw one as I drove up. Get them out of here by the north road."

"Leave my car here. If I find Miss Porter, we shall need it. If I don't, no one will need it. Do as I say," as Clayton hesitated.

They saw the little figure bound away across the clearing toward the north-west, where the forest still stood, untouched by flame.

In each rose the uncomfortable feeling that a great responsibility had been placed on their shoulders—a kind of implicit confidence in the power of the stranger to save the girl if she could be saved.

"Who was that?" asked Professor Porter.

"I don't know," replied Clayton. "He called me by name and he knew Jane, for he asked for her. And he called Emeralda by name."

"There was something most startlingly familiar about him," exclaimed Mr. Philander. "Yet, bless me, I know I never saw him before."

"Tut-tut," cried Prof. Porter. "Most remarkable. Who could it have been, and why do I feel that Jane is safe, now that he has set out in search of her?"

"Are you all mad here? Don't you

"I can't tell you, professor," said Clay-

ton soberly, "but I know I have the same uncanny feeling."

"But come," he cried, "we must get out of here ourselves, or we shall be shut off." And the party hastened toward Clayton's machine.

When Jane Porter turned to retrace her steps homeward, she was alarmed to note how near the smoke of the forest fire seemed, and as she hastened onward, her alarm became almost a panic when she perceived that the rushing flames were rapidly forcing their way between herself and the cottage.

At length she was compelled to turn into the dense thicket and attempt to force her way to the west in an effort to circle around the flames and regain her home.

In a short time the futility of her attempt became apparent, and then her one hope lay in retracing her steps to the road and flying for her life to the south toward the town.

The twenty minutes that it took her to regain the road was all that had been needed to cut off her retreat as effectively as her advance had been cut off before.

A short run down the road brought her to a horrified stand, for there before her was another wall of flame. An arm of the parent conflagration had shot out this strip of road in its clothes. Jane Porter knew that it was useless to attempt to force her way again through the undergrowth.

She had tried it once, and failed. Now she realized that it would be but a matter of minutes ere the whole space between the enemy on the north and the enemy on the south would be a seething mass of flames.

Calmy the girl knelt down in the dust of the roadway and prayed for strength to meet her fate bravely, and to deliver her father and her friends from death. She did not think to pray for deliverance for herself, she knew there was no hope.

Suddenly she heard her name being called aloud through the forest.

"Jane! Jane Porter!" it rang strong and clear but in a strange voice.

"Here!" she called in reply. "Here! In the roadway!"

Then through the branches of the trees she saw a figure swinging.

A veering of the wind blew a cloud of smoke about them and she could no longer see the man who was swinging toward her, but suddenly she felt a great arm about her. Then she was lifted up, and she felt the rushing of the wind and the occasional brush of a branch as she was borne along.

She opened her eyes. Far below her lay the undergrowth and the hard earth.

About her was the waving foliage of the forest.

From tree to tree swung the giant figure which bore her, and it seemed to Jane Porter that she was living over in a dream the experience that had been hers in that far African jungle.

She stole a sudden glance at the face close to her, and then she gave a little frightened gasp—it was he!

"My man!" she murmured. "No, it is the delirium which precedes death." She must have spoken aloud, for the eyes that bent occasionally to hers were lighted with a smile.

"Yes, your man, Jane Porter. You're savage, primitive man come out of the jungle to claim his mate—the woman who ran away from him," he added, almost fiercely.

"I did not run away," she whispered. "I would only consent to leave when they had waited a week for you to return."

They had come to a point beyond the fire now, and he had turned back to the clearing.

Side by side they were walking toward the cottage. The wind had changed once more and the fire was burning back upon itself—another hour like that and it would be burned out.

"Why did you not return?" she asked.

"I was nursing D'Arnot. He was badly wounded."

"Ah, I knew him," she exclaimed.

"They said you had gone to join the blacks—that they were your people."

He laughed.

"But you did not believe them?"

"No—what shall I call you?" she asked. "What's your name?"

"I was Tarzan of the apes when you first knew me," he said.

"Tarzan of the apes?" she cried. "And that was your true name when I left?"

"Yes, when did you think it was?"

"I did not know. Only that it could not be yours, for Tarzan of the apes had written in English, and you could not understand a word of any language."

Again he laughed.

"It is a long story, but it was I who wrote what I could not speak. And now D'Arnot has made matters worse by teaching me to speak French instead of English."

"Come," he added, "jump into my car; we must overtake your father. They are only a little way ahead."

As they drove along, he said: "Then when you said in your note to Tarzan of the apes that you loved another—you might have meant me?"

"I might have," she said simply.

"But in Baltimore—oh, how I have searched for you—they told me you

As to "Spare the Rod and Spoil the Child."

By Sophie Irene Leach.

Copyright, 1912, by The Foss Publishing Co. (Inc.) New York Evening World.

"UNDOUBTEDLY," said Principal John Doty of Public School No. 21, at Mett and Elizabeth streets, "corporal punishment is a necessity in the schools of our city. There are complaints from teachers all over the city who deplore the fact that we have no sort of punishment for unruly pupils. I wouldn't say that the growing number of young thugs, criminals and hoodlums is the direct result of this non-punishment rule of our city schools, but I do believe that it is a contributory cause of this youthful lawlessness of which we hear and see so much."

In like manner, with some modifications, opinions are given somewhat in favor of corporal punishment by Dr. John Tildesley, Principal of De Witt Clinton High School, Justice Mayo of the Children's Court and many others.

The wisdom of creating corporal punishment in dealing with young miscreants must indeed be taken with precaution, since it is a system emanating from the past which has been ADOLPHED as civilization has advanced.

While there is the UNUSUAL child who may perhaps be reached only by the FRIB of punishment, it is not the AVERAGE. And all rules and laws must be made for the average and not the exception. The exception must be dealt with in patience.

It is a well known fact that in the past, when corporal punishment was the rule rather than the EXCEPTION, many a whipping was administered by a teacher in a mood that was provoked by bad behavior or under a tempera-

mental strain that perhaps could not be avoided by that teacher. For the instructor is but human.

And, while many teachers undoubtedly are severely tried every day in disciplining young America, especially in the large city where freedom is the keynote of existence—if given power to punish physically, one might avail himself of this power at moments of consideration when he would not do it if he took time for CONSIDERATION or was not granted the AUTHORITY.

There are many ways and means of reaching the young. OTHER than by corporal punishment. There seemingly is nothing that causes such feeling of resentment to a parent as the administration of physical punishment on a child, inflicted by a so-called "outsider."

While the work of the teacher should be aided in every direction by parents and laymen (for the teacher has much to do with the molding of the young citizen), yet to create a general whereby that teacher may JUDGE the need of inflicting physical pain is a grave question that should not be decided without co-operation from the parents themselves.

While, for some children, the sparing of the rod perchance SPOILS the child, there are many other WAYS that need not be spared in IMPRESSING the way one as to what he should or should not do. When kindness, reason, reward, ALL fail, there may be some need for the rod, but this should be considered, if at all, as the acme of punishment in the EXCEPTIONAL case, and fully agreed upon by more than the teacher himself as the ONE means in the particular case at hand.

If corporal punishment is made a general thing, there will always be contention between parent and teacher as to the justice thereof. And for the good of ALL, concerned contentions should be had before or under a tempera-

mentally strained that perhaps could not be avoided by that teacher. For the instructor is but human.

And, while many teachers undoubtedly are severely tried every day in disciplining young America, especially in the large city where freedom is the keynote of existence—if given power to punish physically, one might avail himself of this power at moments of consideration when he would not do it if he took time for CONSIDERATION or was not granted the AUTHORITY.

There are many ways and means of reaching the young. OTHER than by corporal punishment. There seemingly is nothing that causes such feeling of resentment to a parent as the administration of physical punishment on a child, inflicted by a so-called "outsider."

While the work of the teacher should be aided in every direction by parents and laymen (for the teacher has much to do with the molding of the young citizen), yet to create a general whereby that teacher may JUDGE the need of inflicting physical pain is a grave question that should not be decided without co-operation from the parents themselves.

While, for some children, the sparing of the rod perchance SPOILS the child, there are many other WAYS that need not be spared in IMPRESSING the way one as to what he should or should not do. When kindness, reason, reward, ALL fail, there may be some need for the rod, but this should be considered, if at all, as the acme of punishment in the EXCEPTIONAL case, and fully agreed upon by more than the teacher himself as the ONE means in the particular case at hand.

If corporal punishment is made a general thing, there will always be contention between parent and teacher as to the justice thereof. And for the good of ALL, concerned contentions should be had before or under a tempera-

mentally strained that perhaps could not be avoided by that teacher. For the instructor is but human.

And, while many teachers undoubtedly are severely tried every day in disciplining young America, especially in the large city where freedom is the keynote of existence—if given power to punish physically, one might avail himself of this power at moments of consideration when he would not do it if he took time for CONSIDERATION or was not granted the AUTHORITY.

There are many ways and means of reaching the young. OTHER than by corporal punishment. There seemingly is nothing that causes such feeling of resentment to a parent as the administration of physical punishment on a child, inflicted by a so-called "outsider."

While the work of the teacher should be aided in every direction by parents and laymen (for the teacher has much to do with the molding of the young citizen), yet to create a general whereby that teacher may JUDGE the need of inflicting physical pain is a grave question that should not be decided without co-operation from the parents themselves.

While, for some children, the sparing of the rod perchance SPOILS the child, there are many other WAYS that need not be spared in IMPRESSING the way one as to what he should or should not do. When kindness, reason, reward, ALL fail, there may be some need for the rod, but this should be considered, if at all, as the acme of punishment in the EXCEPTIONAL case, and fully agreed upon by more than the teacher himself as the ONE means in the particular case at hand.

If corporal punishment is made a general thing, there will always be contention between parent and teacher as to the justice thereof. And for the good of ALL, concerned contentions should be had before or under a tempera-

mentally strained that perhaps could not be avoided by that teacher. For the instructor is but human.

And, while many teachers undoubtedly are severely tried every day in disciplining young America, especially in the large city where freedom is the keynote of existence—if given power to punish physically, one might avail himself of this power at moments of consideration when he would not do it if he took time for CONSIDERATION or was not granted the AUTHORITY.

There are many ways and means of reaching the young. OTHER than by corporal punishment. There seemingly is nothing that causes such feeling of resentment to a parent as the administration of physical punishment on a child, inflicted by a so-called "outsider."